G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1892-3

~~191~~ .

(L R)

File No. 450-92

Serial Nos. 1-6

Later references.

P-25

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Countermarked

Serial Nos. 1—5

Major ~~Part~~ B for June 93.

SUBJECT.

Revision of the Settlement of Kodaji Inam Bowringpet taluk.

Countermarked

Chief Sec

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Indexed

I

34

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

REVENUE BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE.

Revision of Kodagi Inam Case No 16 with Copies

SUBJECT.

Reports to the Soondra palya Dodkere in the Bowringpett Taluk.

LAST REFERENCE.

Letter No. 1378 dated 7. 4. 93

from Chief Engineer of Mysore.

The accompanying memo submitted by the Superintendent of Inam Settlement, with his letter No 43 of 8. 11. 92 explains the circumstances which led to the resumption of the Kodagi Inam attached to the Soondra palya Dodkere in the Bowringpett Taluk -

for the orders of the Government, the Incam Superintendent requested orders for revising the Settlement of the Incam in question.

The Hfg Dewan thereupon observed that the resumption having taken place in 1880, it should be ascertained whether any work was done to the Tank by Government since the date of resumption or resignation, & if so what is the nature & the work & Cost thereof.

The Chief Engineer who was addressed on the

× Vide no 11672 dt 2.1.93
R 1358.92

the year 1887 the two
sluices of the Tank were
repaired by the D.P.W.
at a Cost of Rs 409.

Submitted for orders.

31/5 2/6/93 A/ (Acc) Controller

I have passed the
rough draft with a
few verbal
alterations. It may
be issued

20/6/93

Redraft

22/6

Redraft submitted

23/6/93

189 2 (1)

4911
10 NOV. 92
R127

From

The Superintendent
of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore.

Dated 8th
Despatched 10th } November
Received.

L.R. 450-92
Sec 1

Enclosure No. Ver: Corrce & Memo

No. 43 of 1892

In submitting herewith the correspondence ending with Bowringpett Taluk Amil's report No. 43 of the 11th ultimo together with a Memo of this Office, I have the honor to solicit the Authority of Government to revise the Bodiji cadi for the reasons assigned in the Memo.

Supt of Inam Setts.

† To be Kindly returned.

⊗ No. 16, Sh: No. 212 in Sunderpalya Village, Bowring-pet Taluk.

D.J.

Rec. 11/10/92

Draft. Subd
D.M.
24/12/92

Rec'd 9/11

MYSORE SECRETARIAT

No. 11.

Memo.

Extent of land: Jodi: K. H. Rs. A. P. as. 0. 8. as. 0.
A. G. 15. 36. 91 — — —
As per Jari Peymaish account dated 3-11-4-49-6-5
As per survey ———

1. Vide the correspondence ending with Bowringpett Taluk Amildar's report No. 483/302 dated 11th October 1892.

2. Kallavi Hanumanthachar and others, Kodigi Inamdars of Doddakere Tank in Sunderpalya Village, Bowringpett Taluk, complain that their forefathers had spent nearly 15 or 20 thousands of Rupees for building the tank, and got the Kodigi Inam land noted in the margin which was resumed by the Deputy Commissioner in 1872, on the ground that the Kodigi Tank in question was brought by the Irrigation Department under the head of "Ramasagar Series" and an estimate of Rs: 5,000 for the repair of the tank was made, and that the Inamdars having been unable to undertake the heavy expenditure, were ordered to resign the Inam tenure, and retain the Kodigi Inam land on the 3/4th reduced assessment (after remitting 1/4th assessment for Kodigi tank). Accordingly they were enjoying the same on the favorable

favorable assessment until the Survey Settlement, when it was fully assessed without shewing any Consideration for the Kodigi right as was settled by the Deputy Commissioner, and that the Govern=ment has moreover collected from them pro=portionately a large amount of Contribution at the time of repairing the tank, and pray, therefore, that their case may be dealt with under Government Proceedings No. 1,261/37 dated 25th September 1888, confirm=ing the Inam on 1/4 or 1/2 assessment as the case may be. Perhaps

3. They also produced an original Endorsement No. 261 dated 9th February 1873 issued by the Deputy Commissioner of Kolar, granting their Kodigi Inam land on the reduced assessment of 7 annas per Kudu (after remitting 0-2-4 or 1/4th of actual Dern) for the Kodigi right.

4. The Amildar of the Taluk reports that the parties were enjoying the Conces=sion shewn by the Deputy Commissioner from 1872-73 till the Survey Settlement in which year alone the Kodigi land was fully assessed. He further reports

that

Contribution, Rupees 400, at the time the tank was repaired by Government, and that the tank is in good order.

5. The Inam, which is borne out by the Jari Inami accounts of 1801 and 1833, was recorded as case No. 16 of the Inam Register (Sheet-No. 212) and confirmed on 1/2 quit-rent by the late Inam Commissioner in 1871, but under the general order Conveyed in Government Proceedings No. 9620/R. 43 dated 20th December 1876, the case was revised and the Kodigi Inam resumed in 1880 for the reasons stated in paras 2 and 4 above.

B. No. 2 P. 92

6. The Inam is valid under the Rules and would have been Confirmed on 1/2 or 1/4 quit-rent according as the circumstances of the case warranted, had it not been resigned as per the Deputy Commissioner's order quoted in para 3. The Kodigidars were enjoying the Concession shewn by the Deputy Commissioner in the above mentioned order till the Survey Settlement and were paying their share of Contribution for the repair of the tank which is reported to be in good order. Therefore the case is worthy of favorable Consideration —

by

by Government.

7. There is no reason to bring this case under the head of "Chowthaji Kath Laigvad Jauk," as it does not come within its scope.

Supdt of Inam Sets.

DJ.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892-93

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To The Chief Engineer in Mysore.
The Supdt of Inams

L.R. 450-92

82

Dated 2nd January 1893

Despatched 16 January 1893.

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 11672 & 11673
No. 1358-92 1359-92

Informing that the resumption of the Kodagi Inam of Doddakeri Jaulk in Sunderpalya Village, Bowringpett Taluk, took place in 1889 under the Rules of 1877 and requesting the Chief Engr to submit a report on ~~certain points~~ the nature of the work done to the Kodagi Jaulk.

To The Chief Engineer at Mysore.

Dated.
Dispatched.

No. 11672 / R1358-92 dt 2-1-93 / 14.

No.

Docket No. 43 of 8th Nov last from the Supdt of Inam Settlements in Mysore Subg for orders correspondence regarding the complaint of Kallavi Hanumantha Chan de Kodagi Inamdars of Doddakere Tank in Sundepalya village, Bowringpet Taluk, with a memo stating that the tank being included in the "Ramasagar Series" was resumed by Govt as the Inamdars were unable to have the same repaired at their cost, the Inamdars being allowed to retain the Kodagi Inam lands on 3/4 reduced assessment, the assessment being levied fully at the Survey Settlement

---

No. 11673 / T35-9-92 dt 2-1-93 / 14-1-93
60.135

The resumption of the Kodagi Inam referred to above, took place in 1880 under the Rules of 1874. The Chief Engineer is requested to report whether any

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 .

From

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

work was done to the Kodagi Tank by Govt since the date of resumption or resignation, and if so what the nature of the work is and also the cost thereof.

By order
Draft
Chief Secy

Copy to the Supdt of the Inam settlements in Mysore for such information

By order
Chief Secy

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

References to be marked.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—21-12-92.—2000.

1145-3.

1893. R 2836

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

No. 1378

From

The Chief Engineer
of Mysore.

To The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

L.R. 450-92

Dated Bangalore 7th April 1893.

Despatched

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

Requesting to report whether any work was done by Government to the Sundra-palya Bodkere and in-forming that the two sluices of the Tank were repaired in 1887.

Rev. ~~or Rep. Br~~

10/4

11/4

Rec'd 11-4-93 Submitted with memo.

31/5

Mysore Govt. C. P. Bangalore.—16-9-92—2,000.

Recd 10/4

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT,

CENTRAL OFFICE,

Bangalore, 7th April 1893.

No. 1378

3

From

The Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

SIR,

In reply to your No. 11672/1358-92 dated 2d January 1893, requesting to report whether any work was done by Government to the Sundrapalya-Bodkere Registered No. 210 of Bowringpet Taluq, since the resumption of the Kadagi Inam in 1880, I have the honor to inform you that the two sluices of the Tank were repaired by this Department, at a cost of Rupees 409 in the year 1887.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

W. W. Dudley

Offg: Chief Engineer of Mysore.

Chs

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

(4)

No 2161B-21 Dt. 24-6-93
R.T. 336-92 / 29

Read: the g.d. herewith

(Docket No. 43 of the 8th November 1892 from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

(Here print the Docket's endorsement in full)

Read also letter No 13789 dt. April 1893 from the Chief Supdt reporting that since the Inam Commissioner's visit to the Kolar District in 1880, two Shrines of the Tank have repaired by the M.D at a cost of ₹409 in the year 1887.

Order thereon

Plan herewith

Statement herewith

and other papers received with the communication.

His Highness from the foregoing ~~read above, it would appear~~ that the Ancestors of Kallavi Hanumanthappa and other Kodigi Inamdars of the Doddakeri Tank in the Sunderpalya Village of the Bowringpet Taluk incurred heavy outlay in constructing the Tank? Doddakeri Taluk and ~~were~~ therefore were in the enjoyment of the marginally noted

× as per Jarid & Peymaish account. K.K.P. Rs. 3-11-4 Rs. 49-6-5 0
as per Survey — A. G. 15-26 Rs. 91-0-0

land as Inam. This
fact is borne out by
the Jari Inam accounts
of 1801 and 1833 and the
Inam ~~was~~ also appears to have been recorded
as case No 16 on the Inam
Register, and confirmed
by the Inam Commissioner
on ½ Quit rent in 1871. But in 1872, before the
~~In the Subsequent year~~
~~viz. 1872,~~ the Inam was
~~re~~assumed owing to the
failure on the part of
the Inamdars to repair
the tank, the cost of which was
estimated at Rs 5,000/-
and when the tank was
repaired by the D. P. W
the Inamdars were allowed
to retain the Kodigi land
on payment of 3/4ths reduced
assessment on the land. — At R survey, it became
fully assessed.
But under the orders
contained in Government
Proceedings No 9620 of the
R 43
20th December 1876, the

~~Because of it~~
~~remaining~~
See Diary No Page 92

case seems to have been revised and the Kodigi Inamdar resumed ~~in~~ ~~1800~~ during the Survey Settlement.

2. On this, the ~~Kodigi~~ Inamdars ~~complain~~ preferred their complaint to the Inam Superintendent, who submits that the Inam being valied would have been confirmed on ½ or ¼ Rent-Free ^ Quit according as the circumstances of the case warranted, had it not been for its resumption in 1872 ~~resumption by from the Inamdars and conversion into the Kodigi tenure~~. Colonel Grant therefore ~~accordingly~~ solicits permission to revise the Settlement as the case is worthy of favourable consideration.

3. Under these circumstances, Government are pleased to sanction the recommendation

of the Inam Superintendent
and to direct that the ~~case~~ Inam
~~may~~ be revised according
~~to its merits.~~ be confirmed
on keep asst.

Chief Secty.

20/6/93

H. D.

To the Superintendent Inam
Settlements returning
Enclosures. (Memo will be kept here)
Dr Commr Kolar District

Draft for approval
V. P. Madhava Rao
Dy Secy
Vol

B

The resumption in
this case took place
in 1880 under the Rules
of 1877 - was any work
done & the Tank by
Sat since the date
of resumption or any
type what is the
nature of the work
carried out? Call for
this info
& pass the
order given. 27/12/92

Mr Gratton
29/12

Drafted
A.K. 30th Decr

Read the following Docket No: 43 of the 8th Novr 1892 from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

(Here print the docket and its enclosure in full)

No 21618-21 D/24-6-93
R.7.336-92 29

Read also, letter No: 1378 dated 4th April 1893 from the Chief Engineer reporting that since the resumption of the Ko-dagi Inam in 1880, two sluices of the Tank were repaired by the P.W.D at a cost of Rs: 409 in the year 1887.

L.R. 450-92
Sl: 4

Order thereon:-

It is evident from the foregoing papers, that the ancestors of Kallavi Hanumanthachar and other Ko-dagi Inamdars of the Doddakeré Tank in the

the Sunderpalya village of the Bowringpet Taluk incurred heavy outlay in constructing the Doddakeré ~~Tank~~ Tank and were therefore in the enjoyment of the marginally noted land as Inam. This fact is borne out by the Jari Inamati accounts of 1801 and 1833, and the Inam also appears to have been recorded as case No. 16 on the Inam Re-gister and confirmed by the Inam Commis-sioner on 1/2 Quit-rent in 1871. But in 1872, before the enfranchisement was carried into effect, the Inam was resumed owing to the failure on

* As per Jari and Pymash account. Wet — K. K. P 3-11-4, Assessment @ As 49-6-5 — Rs

As per Survey — a G 15-26 " @ As 91 — Rs

on the part of the Inam-
-dars to repair the Tank
the cost of which was
estimated at Rs: 5,000,
and when the ~~Tank~~ Tank was
repaired by the D. P. W.
the Inamdars were allowed
to retain the Kodagi
huck on payment of $\frac{3}{4}$ th
reduced assessment on
the land. At the survey,
the lands were fully
assessed.

2. On this, the Inamdars
preferred their complaint
to the Inam Superintendent,
who submits that the Inam
being valid, would have
been confirmed on $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{4}$th
Quit-rent according as
the circumstances of the
case warranted, had it
not been for its resumption
in 1872. Colonel Grant
therefore

therefore solicits permission to revise the settlement as the case is worthy of favorable consideration.

3. Under these circumstances, Government are pleased to sanction the recommendation of the Inam Superintendent, and to direct that the Inam be confirmed on half assessment.

Print / Ltr 24/6/93

Ch: Secy

MKR
23/6/93

To.

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements
returning original enclosures
(Copies will be kept here)

The Dy/Comm: Kolar Dists

The Inamdars Concerned

Appointments
Memo to Public
Copies
be kept here

RD 26/6 4.20 PM.

1892-93.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

REVENUE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 24th June 1893.

No. 21618-21. / R. F. 336-92.

Sanctioning the recommendation of the Inam Superintendent to revise the settlement of the Kodagi Inam under the Doddakere Tank in the Sunderpalya village, Bowringpet Taluk, and directing that the Inam be confirmed on half assessment.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—5-7-93.—120.

(4)

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Revenue),—dated 24th June 1893.

READ :—

The following docket No. 43 of the 8th November 1892 from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore :—

No. 16, Sheet No. 212 in Sunderpalya village, Bowringpet Taluk.

In submitting herewith the correspondence ending with Bowringpet Taluk Amildar's report No. 483—302 of the 11th ultimo together with a Memo of this office, has the honor to solicit the authority of Government to revise the Kodagi case* for the reasons assigned in the Memo.

MEMO.

1. *Vide* the correspondence ending with Bowringpet Taluk Amildar's report No. 483—302, dated 11th October 1892.

2. Kallavi Hanumantachar and others, Kodagi Inamdars of Doddakere Tank in Sunderpalya village, Bowringpet Taluk, complain that their forefathers had spent nearly 15 or 20 thousands of rupees for building the tank, and got the Kodagi Inam land noted in the margin which was resumed by the Deputy Commissioner in 1872, on the ground that the Kodagi Tank in question was brought by the Irrigation Department under the head of "Ramasagar Series" and an estimate of Rs. 5,000 for the repair of the tank was made, and that the Inamdars having been unable to undertake the heavy expenditure, were ordered to resign the Inam tenure and retain the Kodagi Inam land on the ¾th reduced assessment (after remitting ¼th assessment for Kodagi hakk). Accordingly they were enjoying the same on the favorable assessment until the survey settlement, when it was fully assessed without showing any consideration for the Kodagi right as was settled by the Deputy Commissioner, and that the Government has moreover collected from them proportionately a large amount of contribution at the time of repairing the tank, and pray, therefore, that their case may be dealt with under Government Proceedings No. 1261—37, dated 25th September 1888, confirming the Inam on ¼th or ½ assessment as the case may be.

	Extent.	Assessment.	Jodi.
	K. K. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
As per Jari and Paimayish account.			
Wet	3—11—4	49—6— 5	... ...
	A. G.		
As per survey	15— 26	91—0— 0	... ...

3. They also produced an original endorsement No 261, dated 9th February 1873, issued by the Deputy Commissioner of Kolar, granting their Kodagi Inam land on the reduced assessment of 7 annas per kudu (after remitting Re. 0—2—4 or ¼th of actual beriz) for the Kodagi right.

4. The Amildar of the Taluk reports that the parties were enjoying the concession shown by the Deputy Commissioners from 1872-73 till the Survey Settlement in which year alone the Kodagi land was fully assessed. He further reports that they have paid their share of contribution, Rs. 400, at the time the tank was repaired by Government, and that the tank is in good order.

5. The Inam which is borne out by the Jari Inamti accounts of 1801 and 1833, was recorded as case No. 16 of the Inam Register (Sheet No. 212) and confirmed on ½ quit-rent by the late Inam Commissioner in 1871, but under the general order conveyed in Government Proceedings No. 9620—R. 43, dated 20th December 1876, the case was revised and the Kodagi Inam resumed in 1880 for the reasons stated in paras 2 and 4 above.

6. The Inam is valid under the Rules and would have been confirmed on ½ or ¼th quit-rent according as the circumstances of the case warranted, had it not been resigned as per the Deputy Commissioner's Order quoted in para 3. The Kodagidars were enjoying the concession shown by the Deputy Commissioner in the above mentioned order till the survey settlement and were paying their share of contribution for the repair of the tank which is reported to be in good order. Therefore the case is worthy of favorable consideration by Government.

7. There is no reason to bring this case under the head of "Chauthayi Háth Lagvad Tank" as it does not come within its scope.

READ ALSO :—

Letter No. 1378, dated 7th April 1893, from the Chief Engineer, reporting that since the resumption of the Kodagi Inam in 1880, two sluices of the tank were repaired by the Public Works Department at a cost of Rs. 409 in the year 1887.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Revenue),—dated 24th June 1893.

No. 21618-21—R. F. 336-92, DATED, BANGALORE, 24TH JUNE 1893.

ORDER THEREON.—It is evident from the foregoing papers, that the ancestors of Kallavi Hanumantachar and other Kodagi Inamdars of the Doddakere Tank in the Sunderpalya village of the Bowringpet Taluk incurred heavy outlay in constructing the Doddakere Tank and were therefore in the enjoyment of the marginally noted land as Inam. This fact is borne out by the Jari Inamti accounts of 1801 and 1833, and the Inam also appears to have been recorded as Case No. 16 on the Inam Register and confirmed by the Inam Commissioner on ½ quit-rent in 1871. But in 1872, before the enfranchisement was carried into effect, the Inam was resumed owing to the failure on the part of the Inamdars to repair the tank, the cost of which was estimated at Rs. 5,000, and when the tank was repaired by the Public Works Department, the Inamdars were allowed to retain the Kodagi hakk on payment of three-fourths reduced assessment on the land. At the Survey the lands were fully assessed.

			Assessment.			Jodi.
	K.	K. P.	Rs.	A.	P.	
As per Jari and Paimayish account. Wet	... 3	11 4	49	6	5	...
		A. G.				
As per survey ...	...	15 26	91	0	0	...

2. On this, the Inamdars preferred their complaint to the Inam Superintendent, who submits that the Inam being valid, would have been confirmed on half or one-fourth quit-rent according as the circumstances of the case warranted, had it not been for its resumption in 1872. Colonel Grant therefore solicits permission to revise the settlement as the case is worthy of favorable consideration.

3. Under these circumstances, Government are pleased to sanction the recommendation of the Inam Superintendent, and to direct that the Inam be confirmed on half assessment.

T. ANANDA ROW,
Chief Secretary.

To—The Superintendent of Inam Settlements, returning original with 2 spare copies enclosures.
The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.
The Inamdars concerned.

Exd.—M.A.